# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# A retrospective radiological study on the morphometry of hand digits and phalanges: role in sex determination

Yegbeburu Oghenetega Sandra<sup>1\*</sup>, Beryl S. Ominde<sup>1</sup>, Patrick S. Igbigbi<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Biology, Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria

#### Abstract

*Background:* Forensic identification of dismembered or fragmented human remains is important following mass disasters. Sex determination is fundamental in narrowing down the pool of possible victims. *Aim and Objectives:* This study aimed at determining the accuracy of correct sex prediction using the radiological lengths of hand digits and phalanges of adult Nigerians. *Material and Methods:* In this retrospective cross-sectional study, the lengths of the digits and phalanges were measured on 280 (170 males and 110 females) unpaired hand radiographs archived in the Radiology Departments of two Teaching Hospitals in Nigeria. Ethics approval was obtained from the Institutions' Research committee. Statistical Package for Social Sciences Version 22 was used to analyse the data. Independent 't' test was used for gender comparisons in the mean lengths while discriminant function analysis was used to determine the percentage accuracy for correct sex allocation. Value of p < 0.05 was considered as the level of significance. *Results:* Male hands had significantly longer digits and phalanges compared to those of females on both sides ( $p \le 0.05$ ). The digit and phalanx with high accuracies of sex determination were D2 (90%), DP2 (74.2%) and D1 (68.5%), PP1 (85%) on the right and left hand correspondingly. Collectively, using all the digits (95%) and DP (72.9%) of the right hand as well as digits (80.7%) and PP (78.6%) of the left hand provided high accuracies. *Conclusion:* The findings of this study suggest that the phalanges of the hand digits could be used with other sexual dimorphic parameters to aid in sex determination in our studied population.

Keywords: Phalanges, Digits, Hand, Sex, Determination

### Introduction

Identification of human remains is an important scientific process in medico-legal investigations [1]. Forensic anthropological data is useful in the identification of charred, fragmented and dismembered human remains following mass disasters such as explosions, plane crashes and motor vehicle accidents [2]. Forensic investigations aim at creating a biological profile that will aid in the positive identification of an individual. This entails sex and race determination in addition to age and stature estimation [3]. Sex determination is the first step in establishing the identity of an individual [4]. This step is fundamental in forensic medicine because it helps to narrow down the pool of possible victim matches by 50% and aids in accurate estimation of age and stature. However, sex differentiation of putrefied, mutilated or fragmented skeletal relics is complex and challenging [5]. As a result, developing sexing criteria from various skeletal features have been a major study in forensic anthropology [6]. Sex differences in anthropometric measurements of various body parts vary in different populations due to differences in the genetic makeup and exposure to different environmental and geographical factors [7]. Traditionally, the pelvis and skull provide the best accuracy in the determination of sex when recovered intact. However, in high impact disasters that involve fragmentary human relics, other bones with sexual dimorphic features become useful [8]. Small irregular bony structures such as scapula, clavicle, fingers, toes, patella, vertebrae, ribs, and palate have been proven to be efficient in sex estimation, though with variant accuracy levels [9]. Currently, there has been a growing interest in the application of statistical methods such as discriminant function analysis of skeletal parameters in order to determine their accuracy for correct sex discrimination [10].

The human hand is a diverse anatomical structure that is beneficial in forensic identification of unknown human remains [11]. This is due to the ability of the small, dense and compact bones of the hand to oppose taphonomic transitions, thus often remain complete [11-12]. They are more preferable in forensics compared to long bones whose epiphyses are prone to damage owing to the overlying cancellous bone which is fragile [8]. Hence, the recent interest of researchers in creating population specific parameters for sex prediction using hand anthropometry [13]. The phalanges of the hand are easily identified in mass disasters because they are many and possess small surface area that is exposed to alterations [11, 14]. The measurements of the hand digits and phalanges vary in different population groups, with some studies documenting the presence of sexual dimorphism in these variables [15-17]. Moreover, varying accuracies of using the osteometric parameters of hand digits and phalanges have also been reported in America, Egypt, India and South Africa [16, 18-19].

The use of radiography in forensic examinations is becoming more prevalent especially in situations where skeletal remains are highly putrefied [20]. Electronic radiographic archives contain a large data set that may be useful in forensic medicine [8]. Radiographs provide a clear visualization of bone with precise osteometric evaluation that is reliable in forensic investigations [12]. To the best of our knowledge, there is paucity of data regarding sex estimation using the linear measurements of the hand digits and phalanges in South Nigeria. This study therefore aimed at determining the radiographic lengths of the hand digits and phalanges and evaluate their accuracy in correct sex prediction.

## **Material and Methods**

The study design adopted herein was the retrospective descriptive cross-sectional design. Hand radiographs stored in the Picture Archiving and Communications Systems (PACS) of the radiology departments of two teaching hospitals (in Delta and Edo States) in Nigeria were used in this study. Both institutions granted ethical approval prior to commencement of data collection (Approval Reference numbers: HREC/PAN/2021/003/0417 and ADM 22/A/ VOL. VII/14831067).

The radiographs were selected using the purposive sampling technique. The available digital hand radiographs taken in the two Teaching Hospitals from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2014 to 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020 were retrieved. Both right and left Postero-anterior (PA) hand radiographs (unpaired), of both male and female patients aged 20 years and above were included in this study. This age was appropriate since the bones are mature with limited ongoing development [12]. We excluded hand radiographs

with no demographic data and radiographs of poor quality evidenced by inadequate exposure, overexposure and inadequate field of view. Moreover, views other than the PA hand radiographs and radiographs with congenital and developmental dysplasia, fractures, metabolic bone diseases, osteoarthritis, bone tumours or evidence of previous surgery were excluded from this study. Therefore, 280 PA hand radiographs of 170 males and 110 females fit our inclusion criteria. The age, gender and side of the hand were recorded on the data sheets.

The digits were labelled D with numbers 1 to 5 consecutively with the thumb being the first digit (D1) and the small finger being the fifth (D5). The distal, middle and proximal phalanges were labelled DP, MP and PP. Additionally, these were labelled numbers 1-5 based on the digit they belonged to. For instance, the middle phalanx of the index finger was identified as MP2. On each digital hand radiograph, the lengths of the digits and phalanges were measured in cm using a digital callipers provided by PACS. The length of each phalanx was measured from the midpoint of the base to the midpoint of the apex [5]. The length of each digit was measured from the midpoint of the base of the proximal phalanx to the midpoint of the apex of the distal phalanx. To avoid interobserver errors, one investigator carried out all the measurements. The parameters were measured three times and the average recorded in order to minimize intra-observer errors.

Data analysis was accomplished using Statistical Package for Social Sciences for windows version 22 (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). The continuous variables were summarized in means and standard deviations. The sex differences in the metric variables were assessed using the independent t

test and considered significant at p < 0.05. The accuracy of predicting sex using each metric variable was analysed using the Discriminant Functional Analysis (DFA). Prior to DFA, the normality of data distribution was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test while the Box's M test was used to test for the equality of covariance in the matrices. The coefficients and constants calculated in the univariate and multivariate analysis were used to derive the discriminate function equations. The means of the variables in each gender were incorporated in the equations to determine the discriminant function scores (Di) for each sex group. This was calculated as (Di) = a +R1X1 + R2X2 + R3X3 + R4X4 where (a) was a constant, R1-R4 were unstandardized coefficients and X1–X4 were the metric parameters. The male and female centroids obtained were averaged to determine the Sectioning Points (SP). Therefore, the variables that gave Di above the SP were considered male while Di less than SP were considered female. Wilk's lambda test was used to determine the variables that create significant predictions into classes (males and females) and values closer to zero suggested better discriminatory ability of the function [12]. To quantify the accuracy rate of the original sample and cross validated sample, the "leave one out" classification was applied [14].

# Results

This study evaluated hand radiographs of 280 patients comprising 170 (60.7%) males and 110 (39.3%) females. These patients had a mean age of  $37.4 \pm 10.575$  years and an age range of 20-60 years. Each radiograph had the image of a single hand of an individual, therefore, the sample involved unpaired hands with equal side distribution in

males (85 right, 85 left) and females (55 right and 55 left). We evaluated 1400 digits and 3920 phalanges on 140 right and 140 left hand radiographs.

The mean lengths of the digits and phalanges in the two gender groups are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

All these metric variables were longer in males than in females. The gender differences in the left hand variables were statistically significant except for the mean lengths of  $3^{rd}$  digit, middle phalanges of the  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $5^{th}$  digits, and proximal phalanx of the  $2^{nd}$  digit (Table 1).

Parameter measured	Mean ±	р	
	Males (n:85)	Females (n:55)	
D1	$5.39\pm0.33$	$5.10 \pm 0.25$	0.001*
D2	$8.25\pm0.52$	$7.61 \pm 0.49$	0.001*
D3	$9.17 \pm 0.10$	9.11 ± 0.53	0.670
D4	$8.81 \pm 0.51$	$7.71 \pm 0.56$	0.001*
D5	$6.99 \pm 0.48$	6.83 ± 0.96	0.010*
DP1	$2.30 \pm 0.24$	$2.04 \pm 0.24$	0.001*
DP2	$1.65 \pm 0.20$	$1.57 \pm 0.19$	0.020*
DP3	$1.85 \pm 0.20$	$1.74 \pm 0.19$	0.010*
DP4	$1.81 \pm 0.21$	$1.72 \pm 0.18$	0.001*
DP5	$1.57 \pm 0.23$	$1.43 \pm 0.20$	0.001*
MP2	$2.42 \pm 0.22$	$2.26 \pm 0.36$	0.001*
MP3	$2.80\pm0.38$	$2.75 \pm 0.31$	0.380
MP4	$2.61 \pm 0.31$	$2.52 \pm 0.33$	0.110
MP5	$1.91 \pm 0.39$	$1.87 \pm 0.27$	0.480
PP1	$3.58\pm0.65$	$2.92\pm0.38$	0.001*
PP2	$4.08 \pm 0.35$	$3.99 \pm 0.37$	0.170
PP3	$4.58 \pm 0.27$	$4.43 \pm 0.61$	0.040*
PP4	$4.46 \pm 0.36$	$4.23 \pm 0.28$	0.001*
PP5	$3.32 \pm 0.45$	$2.99 \pm 0.30$	0.001*

Table 1: Gender differences in the lengths of the left hand digits and phalanges

D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx, \*p considered significant at <0.05

© Journal of Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences University

Similarly, significant sexual differences were observed in the right hand variables except the length of the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> digits, distal and middle

phalanx of the  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$  digits respectively and the proximal phalanges of the  $3^{rd}$  to  $5^{th}$  digits (Table 2).

Parameter measured	Mean ±	р	
	Males (n:85)	Females (n:55)	-
D1	$5.65 \pm 0.27$	$5.24 \pm 0.26$	0.001*
D2	$8.42 \pm 0.57$	$7.29 \pm 0.41$	0.001*
D3	$9.33 \pm 0.96$	$9.08 \pm 0.93$	0.130
D4	$8.74\pm0.85$	$7.59 \pm 0.54$	0.001*
D5	$6.98\pm0.51$	$6.73 \pm 0.63$	0.500
DP1	$2.36\pm0.26$	$2.17 \pm 0.25$	0.001*
DP2	$1.76\pm0.20$	$1.58 \pm 0.20$	0.001*
DP3	$1.81 \pm 0.21$	$1.80 \pm 0.22$	0.190
DP4	$1.85 \pm 0.22$	$1.73 \pm 0.26$	0.001*
DP5	$1.46 \pm 0.15$	$1.36 \pm 0.20$	0.001*
MP2	$2.44\pm0.25$	$2.31 \pm 0.31$	0.010*
MP3	$2.84 \pm 0.29$	$2.77 \pm 0.38$	0.020*
MP4	$2.75 \pm 0.31$	$2.65 \pm 0.41$	0.890
MP5	$2.02 \pm 0.26$	$1.89 \pm 0.30$	0.010*
PP1	$3.29\pm0.59$	$2.99\pm0.32$	0.001*
PP2	$4.21 \pm 0.37$	$4.02 \pm 0.29$	0.001*
PP3	$4.62\pm0.45$	$4.49 \pm 0.35$	0.120
PP4	$4.33 \pm 0.25$	$4.19 \pm 0.35$	0.120
PP5	$3.47\pm0.38$	$3.44 \pm 0.46$	0.630

	$\alpha$ $1$	1100	• 41	1 41	6 41	• • •		1		1 1	
Table 7.	Lender	differences	ın the	lengths	of the	right	hand	digite 4	and r	shaland	TAC
Laure L.	UCHUCI	unitructo		ICHEUNS	UI UIL	IIZIII.	nanu	uigits	սոս լ	JHAIAHE	200
				<u> </u>		<b>a</b>		<u> </u>		6	-

D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx, \*p considered significant at <0.05

In both right and left hands, the 3<sup>rd</sup> digit was the longest followed by the 4<sup>th</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> in that order. The descending order in the length of the PP was PP3, PP4, PP2, PP1, PP5 and PP3, PP4, PP2, PP5, PP1 on the left and right respectively. On both sides, the MP of the 3<sup>rd</sup> digit was the longest, followed by the MP of 4<sup>th</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and lastly 5<sup>th</sup> digits. The longest to shortest DP was DP1, DP3, DP4, DP2, DP5 and DP1, DP4, DP3, DP2, DP5 on the left and right hands correspondingly. These comparisons of the mean bone lengths were observed in both gender groups.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that the sample used in this study had a normal distribution of variables (p=0.079) and can therefore be used to

develop formulas for sex grouping. The data also demonstrated equality of covariance (p=0.001). From the univariate DFA, the centroids and calculated sectioning points of the right and left hand variables are summarized in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. The findings from the right hand variables showed that the D2 was the best sex discriminating digit with an overall accuracy of 90% (126) (91.8% males and 87.3% females). The DP2 was the best phalanx in sex prediction (104, 74.2%). Additionally, the best proximal and middle phalanges in determining sex was the PP4 (102, 72.8%) and MP2 (93, 66.4%) correspondingly (Table 3).

Table 3: Univariate Analysis using the lengths of the right digits and phalanges								
Variables	Cent	troids	SP	A	Accuracy (%	)		
	Males	Females	1	Males	Females	Overall		
D1	0.602	-0.930	-0.164	72 (84.7)	40 (72.7)	112 (80)		
D2	0.852	-1.316	-0.232	78 (91.8)	48 ( 87.3)	126 (90)		
D3	0.102	-0.158	-0.028	84 ( 98.8)	3 ( 5.5)	87 (62.1)		
D4	0.54	-0.83	-0.145	77 (90.6)	37 (67.3)	114 (81.4)		
D5	0.135	-0.208	-0.0725	84 (98.8)	6 (10.9)	90 (64.2)		
DP1	0.294	-0.454	-0.08	68 (80.0)	22 (40)	90 (64.2)		
DP2	0.347	-0.537	-0.095	75 (88.2)	29 (52.7)	104 (74.2)		
DP3	0.088	-0.137	-0.0245	84 (98.8)	2 (3.6)	86 (61.4)		
DP4	0.137	-0.212	-0.0375	82 (96.5)	9 (16.4)	91 (65)		
DP5	0.211	-0.333	-0.061	79 (92.9)	19 (35.2)	98 (70)		
MP2	0.177	-0.273	-0.048	81 (95.3)	12 (21.8)	93 (66.4)		
MP3	0.211	-0.325	-0.057	76 (89.4)	14 (25.5)	90 (64.2)		
MP4	-0.01	0.14	0.065	85 (100)	0 (0)	85 (60.7)		
MP5	0.173	-0.268	-0.0475	74 (87.1)	12 (21.8)	86 (61.4)		
PP1	0.233	-0.359	-0.063	83 (97.6)	14 (25.5)	97 (69.3)		
PP2	0.218	0.336	-0. 059	66 (77.6)	11 (20.0)	77 (55)		
PP3	0.109	-0.169	-0.03	84 (98.8)	7 (12.7)	91 (65)		
PP4	-0.187	-0.289	-0.051	80 (94.1)	18 (14.5)	102 (72.8)		
PP5	0.032	-0.050	-0.009	85 (100)	0 (0)	85 (60.7)		

D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx, SP-sectioning point

On the left hand, the digit and phalanx with the highest overall accuracy of correct sex allocation was D1 (96, 68.5%) and PP1 (119, 85%). The DP1 and MP2 were the best left distal and middle

phalanges for correct sex grouping with overall accuracies of 98,70% and 91, 65% respectively (Table 4).

Table 4: Univariate Analysis using the lengths of the left digits and phalanges									
Variables	Cen	troids	SP	Accuracy (%)					
	Males	Females		Males	Females	Overall			
D1	0.385	-0.595	-0.105	60 (70.6%)	36 (65.5)	96 (68.5)			
D2	0.232	-0.359	-0.0635	72 (84.7)	17 (30.9)	89 (63.6)			
D3	0.025	-0.038	-0.0065	84 (98.8)	0 (0)	84 (60)			
D4	0.233	-0.360	-0.0635	73 (85.9)	17 (30.9)	90 (64.2)			
D5	0.116	-0.180	-0.032	80 (94.1)	7 (12.7)	87 (62.14)			
DP1	0.425	-0.658	-0.1165	74 (87.1)	24 (43.6)	98 (70)			
DP2	0.167	-0.258	-0.0455	75 (88.2)	9 (16.4)	84 (60)			
DP3	0.171	-0.265	-0.047	70 (82.4)	8 (14.5)	78 (55.7)			
DP4	0.182	-0.281	-0.099	75 (88.2)	17 (30.9)	92 (65.7)			
DP5	0.251	-0.388	0.0685	71 (83.5)	18 (32.7)	89 (63.5)			
MP2	0.225	-0.347	-0.061	78 (91.8)	13 (23.6)	91 (65)			
MP3	0.060	-0.093	0.2535	85 (100)	0 (0)	85 (60.7)			
MP4	0.109	0.168	-0.0295	85 (100)	1 (1.8)	86 (61.4)			
MP5	0.048	-0.074	-0.013	85 (100)	0 (0)	85 (60.7)			
PP1	0.463	-0.715	-0.126	82 (96.5)	37 (67.3)	119 (85)			
PP2	0.095	-0.146	-0.0255	81 (95.3)	3 (5.5)	84 (60)			
PP3	0.139	-0.215	-0.038	85 (100)	7 (12.7)	92 (65.7)			
PP4	0.277	-0.428	-0.0755	68 (80.00)	19 (34.5)	87 (62.14)			
PP5	0.314	0.486	-0.086	70 (82.4)	25 (45.5)	95 (67.8)			

D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx, SP-sectioning point

The multivariate analysis of the length of phalanges and digits was conducted and the calculated coefficients and constants used to derive discriminate function equations are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

The discriminant equations deduced for the left hand variables were as follows (Table 5);

Di (D) =  $(2.440 \times D1) + (0.6960 \times D2) + (-0.036 \times D3) + (0.830 \times D4) + (0.496 \times D5) - 28.868.$ Di (DP) =  $(3.331 \times DP1) + (1.108 \times DP2) + (1.288 \times DP3) + (1.511 \times DP4) + (1.317 \times DP5) - 16.078.$ Di (MP) =  $(3.073 \times MP2) + (0.590 \times MP3) + (1.154 \times MP4) + (-0.146 \times MP5) - 11.580.$ Di (PP) =  $(1.322 \times PP1) + (0.257 \times PP2) + (0.452 \times PP3) + (1.095 \times PP4) + (1.017 \times PP5) - 15.512.$ 

	1	v 0	8		6 1	6
Variable	R	Cen	troid	Sectioning	Wilk's	Eigen
		Males	Females	point	Lambda	Value
D1	2.440	0.492	-0.760	-0.134	0.725	0.380
D2	0.696					
D3	-0.036					
D4	0.830					
D5	0.496					
Constant	-28.868					
DP1	3.331	0.559	-0.864	-0.153	0.671	0.490
DP2	1.108					
DP3	1.288					
DP4	1.511					
DP5	1.317					
Constant	-16.078					
MP2	3.073	0.248	-0.383	-0.068	0.912	0.096
MP3	0.590					
MP4	1.154					
MP5	-0.146					
Constant	-11.580					
PP1	1.322	0.609	-0.941	-0.166	0.632	0.581
PP2	0.257					
PP3	0.452					
PP4	1.095					
PP5	1.017					
Constant	-15.512					

Table 5:	Multivariate	analysis	using t	he lengths	of the left	hand	digits	and phalang	es
I abit J.	1vi ui ti ui tutt	anary 515	using u	ne rengens	or the left	mana	415105	and phatang	CG

*R-unstandardized coefficient value, D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx* 

The discriminant equations deduced for the right hand phalanges were as shown below (Table 6);  $Di (D) = (1.098 \times D1) + (1.393 \times D2) + (-0.222 \times D3) + (0.681 \times D4) + (0.144 \times D5) - 25.043.$  $Di (DP) = (1.872 \times DP1) + (3.114 \times DP2) + (-0.74)$   $\times$  DP3)+(0.210  $\times$  DP4)+(3.097  $\times$  DP5)-12.947 Di (MP) = (2.008  $\times$  MP2) + (1.627  $\times$  MP3) + (-1.183  $\times$  MP4)+(1.569  $\times$  MP5)-9.117. Di (PP) = (1.178  $\times$  PP1) + (1.438  $\times$  PP2) + (0.570  $\times$  PP3)+(1.354  $\times$  PP4)+(-0.310  $\times$  PP5)-17.020.

Table 6: Multivariate analysis using the lengths of the right hand digits and phalanges							
Variable	R	Centroid		Sectioning	Wilk's	Eigen	
		Males	Females	point	Lambda	Value	
D1	1.098	1.157	-1.788	-0.316	0.323	0.298	
D2	1.393						
D3	-0.222						
D4	0.681						
D5	0.144						
Constant	-25.043						
DP1	1.872	0.464	-0.730	-0.498	0.744	0.343	
DP2	3.114						
DP3	-0.74						
DP4	0.210						
DP5	3.097						
Constant	-12.947						
MP2	2.008	0.301	-0.465	0.3145	0.876	0.142	
MP3	1.627						
MP4	-1.183						
MP5	1.569						
Constant	-9.117						
PP1	1.178	0.346	-0.534	-0.094	0.842	0.187	
PP2	1.438						
PP3	0.570						
PP4	1.354						
PP5	-0.310						
Constant	-17.020						

Table 6. Multivariate anal	vsis using the lend	oths of the right hand	digits and nhalanges
Table 0. Multivariate anal	ysis using the ten	gins of the right hand	uigns and phalanges

R-unstandardized coefficient value, D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx

The original and cross-validated percentage accuracies for sex prediction are summarized on Table 7. The accuracy of using combined digits' length was 95% (133) and 80.7% (113) on the right and left respectively. The lengths of the left hand phalanges showed the collective PP length as the best sex differentiating parameter (110,

78.6%) followed by the DP (108, 77.1%) and MP (96, 68.6%) lengths correspondingly. Using the right hand phalanges, the combined DP length showed the highest accuracy of sex grouping (102, 72.9%), followed by that of PP (99, 70.7%) and lastly the MP (96, 68.6%).

Variable	Classification	Accuracy (%)				
		Males	Female	Overall		
Right D	Original	81 (95.3)	52 (94.5)	133 (95)		
	Cross Validated	81 (95.3)	51 (92.7)	132 (94.3)		
Right DP	Original	70 (82.4)	32 (58.2)	102 (72.9)		
	Cross Validated	69 (81.2)	31 (56.4)	100 (71.4)		
Right MP	Original	72 (84.7)	24 (43.6)	96 (68.6)		
	Cross Validated	71 (83.5)	23 (41.8)	94 (67.1)		
Right PP	Original	75 (88.2)	24 (43.6)	99 (70.7)		
	Cross Validated	71 (83.5)	22 (40.0)	93 (66.4)		
Left D	Original	75 (88.2)	38 (69.1)	113 (80.7)		
	Cross Validated	73 (85.9)	36 (65.5)	109 (77.9)		
Left DP	Original	77 (90.6)	31 (56.4)	108 (77.1)		
	Cross Validated	76 (89.4)	30 (54.5)	106 (75.7)		
Left MP	Original	78 (91.8)	18 (32.7)	96 (68.6)		
	Cross Validated	75 (88.2)	14 (25.5)	89 (63.6)		
Left PP	Original	74 (87.1)	36 (65.5)	110 (78.6)		
	Cross Validated	73 (85.9)	36 (65.5)	109 (77.9)		

Table 7: Percentage accuracy of sex prediction from the multivariate analysis

D1-thumb, D2-index, D3-middle, D4-ring, D5-little finger, DP-distal phalanx, MP-middle phalanx, PP-proximal phalanx\*cross validation is required for only cases in the analysis. In cross validation each case classified using functions obtained from all other cases.



Figure 1: Posterior-anterior left hand radiograph showing the measurement of the digit length



Figure 2A: Posterior-anterior left hand radiographs showing the length of the phalanges: proximal phalanges



Figure 2B: Posterior-anterior left hand radiographs showing the length of the phalanges: middle phalanges



Figure 2C: Posterior-anterior left hand radiographs showing the length of the phalanges: Distal phalanges

#### Discussion

The mean lengths of the hand digits and phalanges differed from those documented in previous studies from several population groups [5, 15-16, 18]. These discrepancies have been ascribed to variances in genetics and environmental factors such as physical activity, diet and pathological conditions that influence the growth and development of bones [5]. The handedness of an individual determines the amount of physical stress exerted by muscles on the bones leading to bilateral asymmetry in the size of bones [14]. For instance, the dominant hand is associated with high physical activity, thus, possesses larger metric variables. Due to this, Alicioglu et al. [8] excluded the right hand radiographs from their study since majority of any given population is right handed. An Egyptian study by Morsi and Hawary [5] used radiographs of both hands to determine the lengths of the phalanges. However, these authors did not observe any significant differences between the lengths of the right and left phalanges in both males and females. Conversely, the present study could not compare the means from the unpaired right and left hand radiographs since the imaging protocol in the Hospitals where the study was conducted entails the imaging of only the hand with suspected pathology other than both hands of an individual. In both males and females, the 3<sup>rd</sup> digit was the longest and this was followed by 4<sup>th</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> correspondingly on both sides. The order of the longest to shortest right PP, MP, DP and left MP corresponded with the findings of Morsi and Hawary [5]. We report significantly longer digits in males except the left D3 and right D3 and D5.

Similarly, sexual dimorphism was observed in most phalanges except left MP3-MP5 and PP2 as well as right MP3, MP4, and PP3-PP5. Previous studies also documented sexual dimorphism in the lengths of the hand digits and phalanges with males having longer metric variables compared to their female counterparts [11, 15-17, 21]. On the contrary, previous studies by Kanchan and Kumar [22] and Ebeye, [23] documented higher mean lengths of the second digits in females than males. Another study carried out in Nigeria by Alabi et al., [12] reported significantly longer proximal phalanges of the 3<sup>rd</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> hand digits in males than females. However, these authors did not observe any sexual dimorphism in the lengths of the middle and distal phalanges. Eshak et al., [24] measured the phalanges using computed tomographic hand images of Egyptians and reported sexual dimorphism in all distal phalanges, PP1 and PP3. However, the middle phalanges as well as the  $2^{nd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $5^{th}$  proximal phalanges did not show any significant gender differences.

From this comparison, it is clear that sexual dimorphism in the different metric parameters differs in population groups. This may be ascribed to the differences in geographical and environmental factors, besides ethnicity which influence the growth and remodelling of bones in the different sex groups [14]. According to Alabi *et al.*, [12], the phalanges have receptors for the sex steroids and therefore respond differently to androgens in males and oestrogen in females leading to cell proliferation and differential bone growth. The timing of the secondary sex

development and fusion of the epiphysis is also different in the two gender groups [21]. Males have larger muscle bulk with greater mechanical effect on the bones [5]. Moreover, due to different gender roles, males are commonly involved in hand activities with more physical stress than females and this may explain the sexual dimorphism in the bones of the hands [14]. According to Kondo *et al.*, [21] the gender differences in the phalanges alter the kinematics and change the ability of the hand to grasp. There is therefore the need for population specific studies to determine the accurate standard lengths of the hand bones in the gender groups.

Using the discriminant function equations of individual metric parameters, the best sex discriminating digit and phalanx was D2 (90%) and DP2 (74.2%) on the right hand and D1 (68.5%) and PP1 (85%) on the left hand. This suggests that the recovery of dismembered body remains with intact right second digit or the left PP1 in mass catastrophes will aid in accurate (85-90%) sex prediction of the remains. Morsi and Hawary (2012) [5] evaluated the accuracy of sex determination using radiographic lengths of the phalanges in 100 Egyptians. The phalanx with the highest accuracy of predicting sex was the proximal phalanx of the 1<sup>st</sup> digit on both right (83.9%) and left (85%) hands. High accuracies were also observed with DP1 of each hand (82.8% and 82%). It was concluded that DP1 and PP1 lengths can be used for sex determination in their population. Similarly, Eshak et al., [24] documented that the length of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> proximal phalanges measured on computed tomographic hand images of Egyptian subjects were the best phalanges to be used in sex determination.

From the multivariate analysis, the best combination of phalanges for correct sex prediction was the PP (78.6%) and DP (72.9%) of the left and right hand respectively. This perhaps suggests that in mass disasters, the presence of all left PP or all right DP could provide some acceptable accuracy (72.9-78.6%) in sex determination. The assessment of phalangeal lengths of 259 white Americans of European Descent showed higher accuracy of sex prediction using the combined left phalanges than the right. Additionally, the length of the distal phalanges provided the highest accuracy for predicting sex on both sides (84.3% and 85.7%) [18]. According to the radiographs obtained from Ilorin, Kwara and Lagos States in Nigeria, Alabi et al., [12] documented a low accuracy of sex determination using the lengths of the PP (66%) with only 60% of males and 40% of females correctly classified. These scholars concluded that the length of the PP is not reliable in sex discrimination in the studied population. The use of the combined digit lengths in the present study provided higher accuracies of 95% (95.3% males, 94.5% females) and 80.7% (88.2% males, 69.1% females) on the right and left respectively. This possibly implies that in the presence of all the digits of the hand, sex can be determined with a greater accuracy compared to the use of a single digit or single phalanx.

The population discrepancies in the accuracy of using the digit and phalangeal lengths in sex determination could be attributed to the differences in the sample size, sex distribution of participants, statistical method used (DFA verses logistic regression) and misclassification of males with reduced dimensions or females with robust bones due to strong musculature [5,8]. The methodology used to measure the lengths vary in different studies based on modality used (CT, radiographs or sliding callipers) or the landmarks used (base and apices of bones versus phalangeal ridges) [5]. Factors such as genetics, body build, race, secular and social factors may also contribute to the variances in accuracy [8]. The differences between the accuracies obtained from the left and right metric parameters of the hands are associated with their varying physical activities attributable to hand dominance [14]. From these variations, equations for sex determination should be developed for each sex

- 1. Waghmare PB, Chikhalkar BG, Nanandkar SD. Establishing identity and cause of death in multilated and unidentifiable corpses: a challenging task for medico legal expert. *J Foren Biomechan* 2005; 6:1-3.
- Sen J, KanchanT, Ghosh A, Mondal N, Krishan K. Estimation of sex from index and ring finger lengths in an indigenous population of Eastern India. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015;9 (1): HC01–HC05.
- Charmode SH, Kadlimatti HS, Pujari D. Correlation of human height with hand dimensions: a study in young population of Central India. *Int J Human Anat* 2019; 1 (3):36-44.
- 4. Barrier ILO, L'Abbe, EN. Sex determination from the radius and ulna in a modern South African sample. *Foren Sci Int* 2008;179 (85):1 -7.
- Morsi DAE, Hawary AAA. Sex determination by the length of metacarpals and phalanges: X-ray study on Egyptian population. *J Foren Legal Med* 2012; 30 (15): 6–13.
- 6. Gosavi A, Kulkarni PR. Relationship of length of clavicle and crown–rump length. *J Krishna Inst Med Sci Univ* 2013; 2 (2): 89-93.
- Uzun O, Yeginoğlu G, Kalkışım SN, Oksuz CN, Zihni NB.Evaluation of upper extremity anthropometric measurements in terms of sex estimation. *Int J Res Med Sci* 2018;6(1):42-50.

group of a population. Furthermore, the equations should be population specific to increase their accuracy.

#### Conclusion

This study has shown that the phalanges of the hands could be used to aid in sex determination in our studied population.

### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the radiology departments of Delta State University Teaching Hospital and University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin for their support during the course of this research work.

### References

- Alicioglu B, Ali YH, Karakas M, Cigali BS, Cikmaz S, Ulucam E. Sex determination by the interarticular distance of metacarpals and phalanges: a digital radiographic study in contemporary Turkish people. *Int J Clin Exp Anat* 2009; 15 (3):14-20.
- 9. Johnbull T, Aigbogun EO, Uwejigho R. Sex discriminatory characteristics of the first lumbar (L1) vertebraofadult Nigerians: A radiologic study using CT scan. *Schol J Appl Med Sci* 2019; 7 (4):1595-1600.
- Mastrangrlo PM, De Luca S, Aleman I, Botella M.Sex Assessment from carpal bones: discriminant function analysis in a 20<sup>th</sup> century Spanish sample. *Foren Sci Int* 2011; 206 (1-3): 216.e1-10.
- 11. Igbigbi PS, Ominde BS, Adibeli CF. Anthropometric dimensions of hand and foot as predictors of stature: a study of two ethnic groups in Nigeria. *Alexandria J Med* 2018; 54:611-617.
- 12. Alabi AS, Aigbogun EO, Lemuel AM, Buhari MB. Sex estimation from adiogrametric linear dimensions of the metacarpals and phalanges in a Nigerian population. *Foren Sci Int Rep* 2020;2: 1-5.
- 13. Nataraja MT, Noor HH, Henky. Body weight estimation from hand anthropometry among Minangs, an indigenous ethnic group in West Sumatra, Indonesia for person identification. *J Krishna Inst Med Sci Univ* 2021; 10 (2): 35-42.

# JKIMSU, Vol. 11, No. 2, April-June 2022

- 14. Karakostis FA, Zorba E, Moraitis K. Sex determination using proximal hand phalanges. *Papers Anthropol* 2015; 24 (2): 37-56.
- Krishan K, Kanchan T, Asha N, Kaur S, Chatterjee PM, Singh B.Estimation of sex from index and ring finger in North Indian Population. *J Foren Legal Med* 2013; 20 (5): 471-479.
- Irooanya OO, Egwatu TF, Talabi OT, Ogunleye S.Sex prediction using finger, hand and foot measurements for forensic identification in a Nigerian population. *Salary Univ J Sci* 2020; 24 (3): 432-445.
- 17. Eboh DEO. Sex discrimination using the index and ring finger lengths in Ukwuani people of Nigeria. *Korean J Legal Med* 2019; 43:144-152.
- 18. Case DT, Ross AH. Sex determination from hand and foot bones length. *J ForenSci* 2007; 52 (2): 264-270.
- Nirupama B, Madhusa BM, Arjun, MS.Stature estimation from length of phalanges of little fingers in Mangaluru population. J Foren Sci Crim Invest 2019;12 (4):555841.

#### \*Author for Correspondence:

Yegbeburu Oghenetega Sandra, Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Biology, Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria Email: yegbeburuoghenetega@gmail.com Cell: +2348160893396

- 20. Mostafa EM, El-Elemi AH, El-Beblawy MA, Dawood AA.Adult sex identification using digital radiographs of the proximal epiphysis of the femur at Suez Canal University Hospital in Ismailia, Egypt. *Egypt J Foren Sci* 2012; 2 (3):81-83.
- 21. Kondo M, Ogihara N, Shinoda K, Anada S, Ito K, Murata M, *et al*. Sexual dimorphism in the human hand proportion: a radiographic study. *J Nat Sci* 2017;43 (1):1-6.
- 22. Kanchan T, Kumar GP. Index and ring finger ratio a morphologic sex determinant in South Indian children. *Foren Sci Med Pathol* 2010; 6 (4):255-260.
- 23. Ebeye OA. An anthropometric study of 2D:4D digit ratios in Urhobo people of Southern Nigeria. *Int J Foren Med Invest* 2017; 3 (2):9-15.
- 24. Eshak GA, Ahmed HM, Gawad EAA. Gender determination from hand bones length and volume using multidetector Computed tomography: A Study in Egyptian People. *J Foren Legal Med* 2011; 18 (6): 246-252.

#### How to cite this article:

Sandra YOG, Ominde BS, Igbigbi PS. A retrospective radiological study on the morphometry of hand digits and phalanges: role in sex determination. *J Krishna Inst Med Sci Univ* 2022; 11(2):46-61

Submitted: 22-Feb-2022 Accepted: 21-Mar-2022 Published: 01-Apr-2022